BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)
Against:)
)
)
DAVID KAZU FUKUDA M.D.) File No. 800-2016-022190
ι)
Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. G77453)
)
Respondent)
)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 22, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED February 22, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

CRonald H. Dewis

Panel A

	il			
1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ROSEMARY F. LUZON			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221544			
. 5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
. 7	Telephone: (619) 738-9074 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9				
10	BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11	DEPARTMENT OF C	ONSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA		
13	To the North of Color Annual Color	G 27 000 001 6 000100		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-022190		
Ì	DAVID KAZU FUKUDA, M.D. P. O. Box 972	OAH No. 2018100947		
15	Loma Linda, CA 92354-0972	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453,	DISCH DIVINI GABEN		
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-		
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:		
21	PAR	<u>ries</u>		
22	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
23	of California (Board). She brought this action sol	ely in her official capacity and is represented in		
24	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of	of the State of California, by Rosemary F.		
25	Luzon, Deputy Attorney General.			
26	2. Respondent David Kazu Fukuda, M.I	O. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding		
27	by attorney Gregory D. Werre, Esq., whose addre	ss is: Reback, McAndrews & Blessey, LLP,		
28	1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 450, Manhattan B	each, CA 90266.		
.	·	1		

3. On or about August 25, 1993, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190, and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On or about August 30, 2018, Accusation No. 800-2016-022190 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on or about August 30, 2018, at his address of record. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 800-2016-022190 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney of record, Gregory D. Werre, Esq.
- 7. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

27 || / .

///.

28 | ///

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453 to disciplinary action.
- 9. Respondent agrees that if an accusation is ever filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to approval by the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
- 12. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving Respondent. In the event that the Board does not, in its

-28

///

///

///

discretion, approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason by the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

1. PUBLIC REPRIMAND.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent David Kazu Fukuda, M.D., Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453, shall be and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a), subsection (4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with Respondent's care and treatment of Patient A, as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190, is as follows:

. 16

 Respondent did not adequately manage and document Patient A's pain medication treatment, and Respondent improperly prescribed an extended release opioid to Patient A on an as-needed (PRN) basis, as more fully described in Accusation No. 800-2016-022190, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete

7 8

6

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

111 25

26

27

28

the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

FAILURE TO COMPLY.

Any failure by Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

111

111

/// 111

III

///

///

///

///

///

	•	ACCEP	TANCE		
1 .	carefully read the abo	-	•		
discussed it	with my attorney, Gre	gory D. Werre,	Esq. I under	tand the stipul	ation and the offer
	n my Physician's and				
Settlement a	nd Disciplinary Order	voluntarily, kn	owingly, and	intelligently, ar	nd agree to be
bound by the	Decision and Order of	of the Medical	Board of Calif	omia.	
DATED:	1-15-2019	DAVID Respond	KAZU FUKU	SUSUL BA, M.D.	luka, M.D.
conditions an	read and fully discussed other matters contain	' <u>-</u>		•	
I approve its	form and content.			a	
DATED:	1/15/19	H	m	Win	<u> </u>
· .•		Altorney	LY D. WERR for Responden	≾, ¤SQ. ∉	
H_{ij}					
111,		•	•	•	
111				:	•
111.	•			•	
///					•
111		, ,			
111	•				
111		•••			
///					
///	· .	•	•	•	• • • • •
/// · · ·	,				

14

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: 1/15/2619

.1

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General

ROSEMARY F. LUZON
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2018700853/71701714.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2016-022190

STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACKAMENTO QUOVST 30 8018 XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California WHILF VAMALYST 2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 ROSEMARY F. LUZON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221544 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9074 7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 8 Attorneys for Complainant. 9 10 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2016-022190 14 ACCUSATION David Kazu Fukuda, M.D. P. O. Box 972 15 Loma Linda, CA 92354-0972 16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453, 17 Respondent. 18 19 Complainant alleges: **PARTIES** 20 Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 21 capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 22 23 Affairs (Board). On or about August 25, 1993, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's 24 Certificate No. G 77453 to David Kazu Fukuda, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and 25 Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 26 herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed. 27 28 111 • 1

ACCUSATION NO. 800-2016-022190

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

111

111

///

111

117

25

27

28

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2220 of the Code states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, the board may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter..." [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act.]

- 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

"(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters,
medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations,
continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are
agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters
made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made
available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

"(b) . . .

- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

66 27

///

28 | ///

III

7. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 8. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:¹
- 9. On or about April 23, 2010, Respondent, a family practitioner, commenced his care and treatment of Patient A, who was a homebound patient. Patient A suffered from multiple medical issues, including chronic pain of the major joints, neck, and back, neuropathy, as well as a history of prescription substance abuse, among other issues.
- 10. Between on or about April 23, 2010 and December 14, 2010, Patient A was seen by Respondent on a near-monthly basis. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate² and hydrocodone acetaminophen.³ Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an asneeded (PRN) basis.

References to "Patient A" herein are used to protect patient privacy.

² Morphine sulfate is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

³ Hydrocodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

.15

///

- 11. Between on or about January 18, 2011 and December 29, 2011, Patient A was seen by Respondent on a near-monthly basis. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate, hydrocodone acetaminophen, and fentanyl patches. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an as-needed (PRN) basis.
- 12. Between on or about February 9, 2012 and December 13, 2012, Patient A was seen by Respondent on a near-monthly basis. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate and hydrocodone acetaminophen. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an asneeded (PRN) basis.
- 13. Between on or about January 23, 2013 and December 26, 2013, Patient A was seen by Respondent on a near-monthly basis. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate and hydrocodone acetaminophen. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an asneeded (PRN) basis.
- 14. Between on or about February 7, 2014 and June 18, 2014, Patient A was seen by Respondent on approximately three occasions. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate and hydrocodone acetaminophen. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an as-needed (PRN) basis.
- 15. Between on or about January 20, 2015 and December 22, 2015, Patient A was seen by Respondent on a near-monthly basis. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate and hydrocodone acetaminophen. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an asneeded (PRN) basis.

- 16. In 2016, Patient A was seen by Respondent on or about February 10, 2016 and March 17, 2016. During this timeframe, Respondent prescribed pain medications to Patient A, including extended release morphine sulfate and hydrocodone acetaminophen. Respondent prescribed extended release morphine sulfate to Patient A on an as-needed (PRN) basis.
- 17. Throughout the entire period of Respondent's care and treatment of Patient A (i.e., between on or about April 23, 2010 and March 17, 2016), Respondent continuously prescribed pain medications to Patient A, however, he did not document a pain treatment plan for Patient A or review the existing pain regimen for Patient A on a periodic basis. He also did not obtain Patient A's informed consent to prescribe opioids, nor did he prescribe naloxone to Patient A in case of accidental overdose. He did not refer Patient A to a pain specialist and, upon being apprised of Patient A's history of prescription substance abuse, Respondent did not refer Patient A to an addiction specialist.
- 18. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A, which included, but were not limited to the following:
 - A. Respondent failed to document a pain treatment plan for Patient A; he failed to review the existing pain regimen for Patient A on a periodic basis; he failed to obtain Patient A's informed consent to prescribe opioids; he failed to provide naloxone to Patient A; he failed to refer Patient A to a pain specialist; and he failed to refer Patient A to an addiction specialist upon being apprised of Patient A's history of prescription substance abuse.
 - B. Respondent prescribed an extended release opioid (i.e., extended release morphine sulfate) to Patient A on an as-needed (PRN) basis.

24 /// 25 ///

III

26 ///.

27 | ///

28 | ///

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

SD2018700853

71515747.doc

26

27 28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266, of the Code, in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records regarding his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 through 18, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 77453, issued to Respondent David Kazu Fukuda, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent David Kazu Fukuda, 2. M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- Ordering Respondent David Kazu Fukuda, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the 3. Board the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant .